Color Theory as Visual Knowledge: Science, Psychology, and Artistic Practice

Nadia Abbasi
Institute of Art and Design,
University of Sargodha, Pakistan

ABSTRACT

Color theory constitutes one of the most enduring and complex systems of visual knowledge in the history of art. Operating at the intersection of scientific inquiry, perceptual psychology, and artistic practice, color functions not merely as an aesthetic attribute but as a means of understanding and structuring visual experience. This paper examines color theory as a form of visual knowledge that evolves through empirical observation, psychological perception, and studio-based experimentation. By tracing key theoretical developments—from early philosophical interpretations of color to modern optical systems and pedagogical models—the study highlights how artists and theorists have continuously negotiated the relationship between measurable phenomena and subjective experience. Through close visual analysis of selected artworks and movements, the paper argues that artistic practice itself acts as a site of knowledge production, where color theory is tested, challenged, and redefined. Rather than viewing color theory as a static body of rules, this research positions it as a dynamic and adaptive framework that bridges science, psychology, and creative expression, shaping both artistic form and visual meaning across history.

1. Introduction

Color is among the most immediate and powerful elements of visual experience. Before form, subject matter, or iconography is consciously processed, color establishes emotional tone, spatial orientation, and perceptual focus. In artistic practice, color operates as far more than a decorative addition; it functions as a cognitive and expressive tool through which artists generate meaning and viewers interpret images. The systematic study of color theory therefore represents not only an aesthetic concern but also a mode of visual knowledge—one that structures how the visible world is understood and communicated.

This paper approaches color theory as a knowledge system formed through the convergence of three domains: science, psychology, and artistic practice. Scientific inquiry has sought to explain color through optical phenomena such as light, wavelength, and refraction, producing models that allow color to be measured and categorized. Psychological perspectives emphasize perception, emotional response, and the relational nature of color experience, recognizing that color is never perceived in isolation. Artistic practice transforms both scientific and psychological insights into material experimentation, where theory is tested through pigment, surface, and compositional decision-making.

Rather than presenting a purely chronological history of color theory, this study reframes chromatic systems as evolving epistemologies—ways of knowing that change according to cultural, technological, and artistic contexts. Through historical analysis, formal examination of artworks, and comparative perspectives across modern movements, the paper argues that color theory remains a living and adaptive framework. Artworks themselves emerge as sites of inquiry, where visual knowledge is not merely applied but actively produced.

Color Theory as Visual Knowledge: Conceptual Foundations

Understanding color theory as visual knowledge requires recognizing its dual nature as both objective system and subjective experience. From antiquity onward, thinkers attempted to explain color through philosophical speculation rather than empirical measurement. In classical thought, color was associated with elemental forces and cosmological order. Aristotle, for example, conceived color as a product of light and darkness interacting with material substances, linking

chromatic variation to natural processes rather than optical science. This early approach positioned color as qualitative knowledge—understood through observation and analogy rather than calculation.[1]

During the Renaissance, the rise of empirical observation reshaped artistic understanding of color. Artists such as Leonardo da Vinci emphasized atmospheric effects, tonal transitions, and the material behavior of pigments under light. Leonardo's investigations into shadow, reflection, and gradation represent an early attempt to reconcile perceptual experience with systematic observation, laying groundwork for later scientific inquiry while remaining rooted in artistic practice.[2]

The conceptual shift from qualitative to quantitative knowledge occurred decisively in the seventeenth century with Isaac Newton's optical experiments. By demonstrating that white light contains a spectrum of colors revealed through refraction, Newton transformed color into a measurable phenomenon. His circular arrangement of spectral hues introduced the foundational structure of the color wheel, allowing chromatic relationships to be analyzed with mathematical precision.[3] Newton's work established color as scientific knowledge, yet it simultaneously reduced the role of human perception in color experience.

This reduction prompted alternative epistemological models. Johann Wolfgang von Goethe rejected Newton's purely optical explanation, arguing that color arises through the interaction of light, darkness, and the observer. Goethe's theory emphasized perception, emotion, and experiential knowledge, asserting that color cannot be fully understood without accounting for human sensation.[4] This tension between objective measurement and subjective experience forms the intellectual core of color theory and persists throughout its historical development.

Scientific Systems and Optical Knowledge of Color

The scientific study of color sought to produce stable systems that could communicate chromatic relationships across disciplines. Newton's spectral discoveries provided the foundation, but later theorists expanded these ideas to address practical and perceptual concerns. In the nineteenth century, Michel-Eugène Chevreul's work in textile dyeing revealed the principle of simultaneous contrast, demonstrating that colors influence one another when placed side by side. Chevreul's findings showed that color perception is relational rather than absolute, bridging scientific observation with visual experience.[5]

Albert Munsell further advanced color theory by developing a three-dimensional system based on hue, value, and chroma. Unlike earlier linear or circular models, Munsell's system acknowledged perceptual limitations and variations, recognizing that not all hues achieve equal saturation at the same value. This model transformed color into a communicable language used across art, industry, and education, reinforcing color theory's role as structured visual knowledge.[6]

These scientific systems did not replace artistic intuition; instead, they provided frameworks within which artists could experiment more deliberately. By offering measurable parameters, scientific color theory enabled artists to analyze, refine, and expand their chromatic practices with greater awareness.

Psychological and Perceptual Knowledge of Color

While scientific systems provide measurable frameworks for understanding color, they do not fully account for how color is experienced by the human observer. Psychological and perceptual approaches to color theory emphasize that color functions as a form of embodied visual knowledge—shaped by sensory response, emotional resonance, and contextual interaction. From this perspective, color is not a fixed property of objects but a phenomenon produced through perception itself.

Johann Wolfgang von Goethe's Theory of Colours represents a decisive moment in the recognition of perceptual color knowledge. Goethe argued that color emerges through the interaction of light, darkness, and the observer's eye, rejecting the notion that chromatic meaning could be reduced solely to optical measurement. He emphasized the emotional and symbolic dimensions of color, asserting that specific hues provoke distinct psychological responses. Goethe's theory

reframed color as experiential knowledge, grounded in sensation and perception rather than abstract calculation.[7]

Twentieth-century developments in psychology further expanded this understanding by examining how color influences mood, cognition, and behavior. Studies of visual perception demonstrated that colors are processed relationally rather than independently; their appearance shifts according to surrounding hues, illumination, scale, and spatial context. This insight reinforced the idea that color knowledge is dynamic and situational, shaped by both physiological vision and cultural conditioning. Artists have long exploited these perceptual effects—often intuitively—to direct attention, establish atmosphere, and generate emotional impact within their work.

Josef Albers' pedagogical approach provides one of the clearest articulations of perceptual color knowledge in artistic practice. In Interaction of Color, Albers demonstrated that identical colors can appear radically different depending on their chromatic environment. His exercises revealed that perception frequently contradicts theoretical expectation, making visual experience itself a primary source of knowledge. Rather than teaching prescriptive rules, Albers encouraged direct experimentation, positioning perception as the foundation of chromatic understanding.[8] Through this approach, color theory becomes a lived and testable system, accessible only through visual engagement.

Psychological and perceptual models therefore complement scientific color systems by addressing the human dimension of vision. They recognize that color meaning arises not solely from physical properties but from perception, memory, emotion, and context. For artists, this dimension of color knowledge is essential, as the success of an artwork ultimately depends on how color is perceived and felt by the viewer.

Hue, Value, and Saturation as Knowledge Systems

The attributes of hue, value, and saturation form the core analytical framework of color theory. These attributes do not function merely as descriptive categories; rather, they operate as interconnected systems of visual knowledge that enable artists to predict, manipulate, and refine perceptual effects within a composition.

Hue refers to the identity of a color—what distinguishes red from blue or yellow. As the most immediately recognizable chromatic attribute, hue carries strong emotional and symbolic associations shaped by cultural convention and personal experience. In artistic practice, hue selection establishes the conceptual and emotional register of a work, signaling mood, emphasis, or thematic intent. However, hue alone does not determine visual impact; its interaction with value and saturation is critical to perceptual outcome.

Value describes the relative lightness or darkness of a color and plays a central role in spatial perception and form articulation. Variations in value generate contrast, depth, and volume, allowing artists to model three-dimensional space on a two-dimensional surface. As emphasized in Fundamentals of Art, value relationships often exert greater influence on visual organization than hue alone, particularly in establishing focal points and compositional hierarchy.[9] For this reason, value functions as a fundamental system of visual knowledge, guiding the viewer's eye and structuring spatial relationships.

Saturation, also referred to as chroma or intensity, measures the purity or vividness of a color. Highly saturated colors appear energetic and assertive, while desaturated colors convey subtlety, restraint, or atmosphere. Adjustments in saturation allow artists to control emphasis, emotional tone, and visual rhythm. Importantly, saturation is inseparable from value; changes in brightness often alter perceived intensity, reinforcing the relational nature of chromatic attributes.

The interdependence of hue, value, and saturation underscores the complexity of color knowledge. Albert Munsell's three-dimensional color system addressed this complexity by organizing color according to all three attributes simultaneously. His model demonstrated that color cannot be fully understood through isolated variables, reinforcing the notion that chromatic knowledge is systemic and relational.[10] For artists, mastery of these attributes requires both analytical understanding and intuitive sensitivity developed through practice.

Color Harmonies as Cognitive Structures

Color harmony refers to the organization of colors into relationships that appear visually coherent, purposeful, or expressive. While often discussed in aesthetic terms, color harmonies operate as cognitive structures—frameworks that enable artists to anticipate viewer response and construct visual meaning. Through systematic relationships defined by the color wheel, harmonies translate abstract chromatic principles into perceptible visual order.

Complementary harmonies, formed by pairing colors opposite each other on the color wheel, generate strong contrast and heightened visual energy. This opposition produces perceptual vibration, stimulating the eye and intensifying visual focus. Artists frequently employ complementary schemes to create emphasis, drama, or emotional tension. The effectiveness of such schemes demonstrates how harmony functions as visual knowledge grounded in both optical behavior and psychological response.

Analogous harmonies, composed of neighboring hues, produce continuity and unity. Because these colors share common chromatic components, they minimize contrast and foster visual cohesion. Analogous schemes are often associated with natural environments and convey calmness or stability, making them effective for establishing atmosphere and tonal consistency.

More complex systems such as triadic and tetradic harmonies balance contrast and unity through structured chromatic relationships. Triadic harmonies distribute visual weight evenly across the color wheel, creating energetic yet stable compositions. Tetradic harmonies, involving two complementary pairs, offer rich chromatic variety but demand careful control to prevent visual imbalance. Successful use of these schemes reflects advanced chromatic knowledge, as artists must manage competing relationships within a single composition.

From a cognitive perspective, color harmonies function as perceptual frameworks that help viewers process complex visual information efficiently. By organizing color relationships systematically, artists guide interpretation and emotional response. Harmony, therefore, is not merely decorative arrangement but a form of visual reasoning—one that transforms theoretical relationships into sensory experience.[11]

Artistic Practice as Knowledge Production

Within the framework of color theory as visual knowledge, artistic practice occupies a central epistemological position. Artists do not merely apply pre-existing chromatic rules; rather, they generate knowledge through sustained experimentation with materials, perception, and visual relationships. The studio functions as a laboratory in which theoretical concepts—derived from science or psychology—are tested against lived visual experience. Through repetition, adjustment, and intuitive decision-making, artists transform abstract principles into embodied understanding.

This practice-based knowledge differs fundamentally from scientific or textual knowledge. While scientific color systems aim for stability and universality, artistic knowledge is provisional, context-dependent, and responsive to perception. Each artwork becomes a site where color theory is negotiated rather than confirmed. This process-oriented understanding aligns with modern conceptions of artistic research, in which making is recognized as a legitimate mode of inquiry.

Historically, this approach becomes especially visible in modern art movements, where color is increasingly liberated from descriptive obligation and redefined as an autonomous expressive force. The following sections examine how Post-Impressionism and Neo-Impressionism functioned as critical laboratories for chromatic knowledge, each adopting distinct strategies for transforming theory into practice.

Post-Impressionism: Color as Structural and Emotional Knowledge

Post-Impressionism emerged in the late nineteenth century as both an extension of and reaction against Impressionism. While Impressionist painters emphasized fleeting light and atmospheric effects, Post-Impressionist artists sought greater structural coherence, symbolic meaning, and emotional depth. In doing so, they reconceptualized color as a system of knowledge capable of organizing form, space, and psychological expression simultaneously.

Paul Cézanne's approach to color exemplifies this shift. Rather than using color solely to record visual sensation, Cézanne employed chromatic modulation to construct form. Through subtle variations in hue and value, he built volumes and spatial relationships without relying on traditional linear perspective. Color, in this context, becomes a structural language—a means of understanding and representing the underlying order of nature. Cézanne's practice demonstrates how chromatic relationships can function as analytical tools, transforming perception into visual knowledge.[12]

Vincent van Gogh, by contrast, approached color as an emotional and psychological force. His use of intensified hues and exaggerated contrasts reflects a deliberate departure from naturalism. In works such as The Night Café, clashing reds and greens create a sense of agitation and unease, conveying emotional states rather than optical accuracy. Van Gogh's letters reveal a conscious awareness of color's psychological impact, underscoring his belief that color could communicate inner experience more directly than form alone.[13] Through such practice, color becomes a vehicle for subjective knowledge—articulating emotional realities that resist rational explanation.

Paul Gauguin further expanded Post-Impressionist color theory by employing non-naturalistic palettes grounded in symbolism and spiritual inquiry. His flattened areas of pure color reject illusionistic depth in favor of conceptual meaning. In Gauguin's work, color functions as a sign system, encoding cultural narratives and personal beliefs. Collectively, Post-Impressionist practices demonstrate that color theory operates not merely as technique but as a mode of thinking—capable of generating multiple forms of visual knowledge.

Neo-Impressionism and Pointillism: Optical Experimentation and Scientific Knowledge

While Post-Impressionism emphasized emotional and structural color knowledge, Neo-Impressionism pursued a more systematic and scientific approach. Rooted in contemporary optical research, Neo-Impressionist artists sought to apply color theory with empirical precision. Their

work reflects a conscious attempt to reconcile artistic practice with scientific knowledge of perception.

Georges Seurat, the leading figure of Neo-Impressionism, developed Pointillism as a method based on optical mixing rather than physical blending of pigments. By applying small, discrete dots of pure color, Seurat allowed the viewer's eye to synthesize hues at a distance. This technique was informed by the theories of Michel-Eugène Chevreul and Ogden Rood, who emphasized the effects of simultaneous contrast and retinal perception. Seurat's A Sunday on La Grande Jatte exemplifies this method, functioning as a visual experiment in chromatic harmony and luminosity.[14]

Seurat's approach positions the artwork as an experimental field in which scientific hypotheses are tested visually. The painting does not simply illustrate color theory; it actively enacts it, requiring the viewer's perceptual participation to complete the image. In this sense, Neo-Impressionist practice produces knowledge through interaction between artwork and observer, reinforcing the relational nature of color perception. Paul Signac extended Seurat's principles while introducing greater chromatic freedom. His use of complementary contrasts and rhythmic color placement emphasizes sensation and movement, demonstrating that scientific color systems can coexist with expressive intent. By referring to his paintings as "Opus," Signac underscored the analogy between color harmony and musical composition, framing chromatic relationships as temporal and experiential rather than purely visual.[15]

Neo-Impressionism thus represents a critical moment in the history of color theory, where scientific knowledge is translated directly into artistic practice. The movement reveals both the possibilities and limitations of empirical systems, showing that while science can inform art, perception and interpretation ultimately complete the visual experience.

Fauvism: Color as Emotional Autonomy

At the beginning of the twentieth century, Fauvism marked a decisive rupture in the history of color theory by asserting color's independence from descriptive and naturalistic functions. Fauvist artists rejected the notion that color must correspond to observed reality, instead treating it as an

autonomous expressive force capable of generating meaning on its own. In doing so, they transformed color into a form of emotional knowledge—one grounded in sensation, intuition, and subjective experience rather than optical accuracy.

Henri Matisse, the movement's central figure, approached color as a direct vehicle for feeling. He selected hues based not on scientific theory but on emotional resonance and compositional necessity. In works such as The Joy of Life, saturated colors are distributed across the surface in broad, flat areas, dissolving traditional spatial depth and immersing the viewer in a rhythmic chromatic environment. Color no longer describes form; it becomes form. Matisse's practice demonstrates how color can function as a cognitive and emotional system, structuring perception through affect rather than representation.[16]

André Derain, working alongside Matisse, similarly embraced pure, non-naturalistic color to convey vitality and movement. His Fauvist landscapes employ intense contrasts that heighten visual immediacy and disrupt conventional depth cues. These strategies reveal how Fauvism redefined color theory as experiential knowledge—knowledge generated through emotional response rather than rational calculation. By liberating color from its descriptive role, Fauvist artists expanded the epistemological scope of color theory, positioning it as a primary means of visual thinking.

Orphism: Simultanism, Rhythm, and Chromatic Abstraction

Emerging around 1912, Orphism developed as a chromatically driven offshoot of Cubism, placing color at the center of abstraction and visual rhythm. Orphist artists sought to move beyond analytical fragmentation, using color to generate movement, depth, and musicality. Their work reflects a synthesis of scientific color theory, perceptual psychology, and symbolic abstraction, framing color as an independent source of visual knowledge.

Robert Delaunay's theory of Simultanism was directly influenced by Michel-Eugène Chevreul's principles of simultaneous contrast. Delaunay believed that adjacent colors intensify one another, creating dynamic visual interactions that activate perception. In his Windows and Circular Forms series, overlapping planes of color dissolve representational boundaries, transforming color relationships into rhythmic visual experiences. These paintings function as perceptual experiments, inviting the viewer to experience color as motion and energy rather than static form.[17]

Sonia Delaunay extended Orphist principles beyond painting into textiles, fashion, and design, demonstrating the applicability of color theory to everyday visual culture. Her use of contrasting hues and geometric patterns translated Simultanism into lived experience, reinforcing the idea that color knowledge operates across artistic and social contexts. In this sense, Orphism reframed color theory as an interdisciplinary system—one capable of generating sensory knowledge through rhythm, repetition, and abstraction.

De Stijl: Chromatic Reduction and Universal Order

While Fauvism and Orphism embraced chromatic intensity and multiplicity, the De Stijl movement pursued radical reduction. Founded in the Netherlands in 1917, De Stijl sought to establish universal visual harmony through strict limitation of form and color. Its theoretical framework, known as Neoplasticism, reduced color to the three primaries—red, yellow, and blue—supplemented by black, white, and gray. This reduction reflects a belief that essential visual knowledge could be achieved through simplicity and balance.

Piet Mondrian, the movement's leading theorist and practitioner, viewed color as a means of expressing universal order. By eliminating representational references, Mondrian aimed to reveal the underlying structure of reality. In his grid-based compositions, color functions as a relational system governed by equilibrium rather than emotion. Each primary hue is carefully balanced against neutral fields, creating tension and resolution through asymmetry. Mondrian's work positions color theory as rational knowledge—an abstract language capable of articulating metaphysical harmony.[18]

Theo van Doesburg expanded De Stijl's theoretical reach through writing and architectural experimentation. While initially aligned with Mondrian's strict principles, van Doesburg later introduced diagonal elements, suggesting that visual knowledge must remain adaptable rather than fixed. This internal debate highlights an important dimension of

color theory: even systems that aspire to universality must respond to perceptual and contextual change.

De Stijl thus represents an alternative epistemological model within color theory—one that prioritizes order, reduction, and abstraction over sensory richness. By limiting chromatic possibilities, the movement reframed color as a precise intellectual tool, capable of communicating universal relationships through minimal means.

Bauhaus: Pedagogy, Experiment, and Chromatic Knowledge

The Bauhaus represents one of the most influential moments in the transformation of color theory into structured visual knowledge. Founded in 1919 in Germany, the Bauhaus sought to unify art, craft, and design through an educational model grounded in experimentation and interdisciplinary inquiry. Color theory occupied a central role within this framework, functioning not merely as a compositional tool but as a foundational system through which students learned to think visually.

Johannes Itten played a pivotal role in shaping Bauhaus color pedagogy. His twelve-hue color wheel and classification of seven color contrasts—including hue, value, saturation, warm–cool, complementary, simultaneous, and extension—provided students with a systematic vocabulary for understanding chromatic relationships. Itten's approach combined scientific observation with spiritual and psychological associations, linking color to emotion, temperament, and sensory experience. This synthesis positioned color theory as holistic knowledge, bridging rational structure and subjective response.[19]

Wassily Kandinsky further expanded Bauhaus color theory by exploring synesthetic relationships between color, sound, and form. In Concerning the Spiritual in Art, Kandinsky argued that color possesses an inherent psychological resonance capable of directly affecting the human soul. He associated specific colors with geometric shapes and emotional states, proposing a symbolic system in which color operates as an expressive language. Kandinsky's theories emphasize that visual knowledge is not limited to perception alone but extends into emotional and spiritual dimensions.[20]

Paul Klee approached color theory through rhythm, balance, and musical analogy. His teaching notes reveal an analytical yet poetic understanding of color as an independent agent capable of structuring visual movement. Klee treated color relationships as temporal sequences rather than static arrangements, reinforcing the idea that chromatic knowledge unfolds through time and perception.[21]

Josef Albers, who later taught at Black Mountain College and Yale University, refined Bauhaus principles through rigorous experimentation. His Homage to the Square series exemplifies his belief that color is inherently relational and unstable. By placing nested squares of differing hues and values in direct contact, Albers demonstrated that color perception is contingent upon context. His work positions artistic practice as empirical research, where visual knowledge emerges through systematic testing rather than theoretical prescription.[22]

Color Field Painting: Immersion and Perceptual Depth

Color Field Painting, which emerged in the mid-twentieth century as a branch of Abstract Expressionism, represents a culmination of modern explorations into color as perceptual knowledge. Unlike gestural abstraction, Color Field artists minimized brushwork and compositional complexity, focusing instead on large expanses of color to create immersive visual environments. In these works, color becomes the primary subject, engaging the viewer directly through scale, saturation, and spatial ambiguity.

Mark Rothko's paintings exemplify this approach. His softly edged rectangles of color appear to hover and pulse against luminous grounds, creating an experience that is simultaneously visual and emotional. Rothko believed that color could communicate fundamental human emotions such as tragedy, ecstasy, and transcendence without reliance on figurative imagery. The viewer's prolonged engagement with these fields activates perception as a form of knowledge—one grounded in introspection and emotional response rather than analytical interpretation.[23]

Barnett Newman introduced vertical bands, or "zips," that interrupt color fields and generate spatial tension. These

elements function as perceptual markers, structuring the viewer's experience of scale and presence. Newman's work frames color as existential knowledge, confronting the viewer with vast, contemplative spaces that emphasize human perception within the visual field.[24]

Helen Frankenthaler advanced Color Field practice through her soak-stain technique, allowing pigment to seep directly into unprimed canvas. This method dissolved boundaries between surface and color, producing luminous effects that emphasize process and material behavior. Frankenthaler's work demonstrates how technical innovation contributes to visual knowledge, revealing how color interacts with medium, gravity, and absorption.[25]

Color Field Painting thus reframes color theory as immersive experience. Rather than analyzing color relationships intellectually, viewers encounter color phenomenologically, through bodily presence and sustained observation. This shift underscores the culmination of color theory as perceptual knowledge—fully activated through artistic practice.

2. Conclusion

Color theory, when understood as visual knowledge, reveals itself as a dynamic and evolving system shaped by scientific inquiry, psychological perception, and artistic experimentation. From early philosophical interpretations to modern chromatic systems, color has functioned as a means of understanding the visible world rather than merely embellishing it. Scientific models have provided structure and measurability, while psychological approaches have emphasized perception, emotion, and relational experience. Artistic practice, however, remains the site where these forms of knowledge converge and are transformed.

Throughout art history, artists have not simply applied color theory; they have produced knowledge through practice. Movements such as Post-Impressionism, Fauvism, Orphism, De Stijl, the Bauhaus, and Color Field Painting demonstrate that artworks operate as visual experiments—testing how color constructs meaning, space, and emotion. In this sense, color theory is not a static body of rules but a living framework continually reshaped through perception and creative inquiry.

By framing color theory as visual knowledge, this study highlights the epistemological role of art itself. Color becomes a mode of thinking—one that bridges objective systems and subjective experience, science and sensibility. Its enduring relevance lies in its capacity to adapt, enabling artists and viewers alike to engage with the world through perception, emotion, and understanding.

References

Albers, Josef. Interaction of Color. New Haven: Yale University Press.

Arnason, H. H., and Elizabeth C. Mansfield. History of Modern Art. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Education.

Gardner, Helen, Fred S. Kleiner, and Christin J. Mamiya. Gardner's Art Through the Ages: The Western Perspective. 13th ed. Belmont, CA: Wadsworth Cengage Learning.

Gombrich, E. H. The Story of Art. London: Phaidon Press.

Kandinsky, Wassily. Concerning the Spiritual in Art. New York: Dover Publications.

Ocvirk, Otto G., Robert E. Stinson, Philip R. Wigg, Robert O. Bone, and David L. Cayton. Fundamentals of Art and Design. Boston: McGraw-Hill Education.

List of Figures